UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/581,470 | 06/01/2006 | Thomas Lerche | WUE-58 | 3887 |
| Thomas J. Burg | 7590 03/18/200 ter | EXAMINER | | |
| Wood Herron & | z Evans | | OREILLY, PATRICK F | |
| 2700 Carew Tower 441 Vine Street | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Cincinnati, OH | 45202-2917 | | 3749 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/18/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/581,470 | | LERCHE, THOMAS | |
| | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | Patrick F. O'Reilly III | 3749 | | | | |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>24 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the r (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Armo event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | | FIRST REPLY WAS FI | LED WITHIN TWO | | | |
| ktensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ander 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, ay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl | liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t | filed within two month | s of the date of | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | 90 (1 (1 1 | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | cause | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better | • | ducing or simplifying t | ne issues for | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-5 and 7-21. | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ıl and/or appellant fail | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| /Steven B. McAllister/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3749 | /Patrick F. O'Reilly III/ Examiner, Art Unit 3749 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims raise new substantive issues that would require a further consideration on the merits and an additional search. Thus, the amendments to the claims will not be entered.